

**REMARKS**

Reconsideration of this application, in view of the foregoing amendments and the following remarks, is respectfully requested.

The Examiner has rejected Claims 1-6 and 9-11 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Purcell '070 in view of either Balkanski et al or Saishi et al.

Furthermore, the Examiner has rejected Claims 1-6 and 9-11 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Purcell '622 in view of either Balkanski et al or Saishi et al.

Moreover, the Examiner has rejected Claims 1-6 and 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Murakami et al in view of either Balkanski et al or Saishi et al.

In addition, the Examiner has rejected Claims 1-7 and 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yu et al in view of either Balkanski et al or Saishi et al.

However, without a copy or cite of Saishi et al, it is impossible to respond to the Examiner's rejections. The Examiner is requested to provide a copy of the reference Saishi et al. to the Applicant. This reference was informally cited in the Office Action but a copy was not provided nor was it formally cited in the "Notice of References Cited" by the Examiner.

Should the Examiner have further inquiry concerning these matters, please contact the below named attorney for Applicant.

Respectfully submitted,



April M. Mosby  
Attorney for Applicant  
Reg. No. 44,955

Texas Instruments Incorporated  
P.O. Box 655474, MS 3999  
Dallas, TX 75265  
(972) 917-5276